

2635 Highway 9 East Clio, SC 29525

Grades PK-8 Elementary School

Enrollment 239 Students

PrincipalRobert Chris Brown843-586-9391SuperintendentMiss Alisa Goodman843-479-4016Board ChairMr. John McInnis843-586-8989

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

Year	Absolute Rating	Growth Rating
2008	At-Risk	At-Risk
2007	At-Risk	At-Risk
2006	Below Average	Below Average
2005	Below Average	Below Average
2004	Below Average	At-Risk

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- At-Risk District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org


Percent of Student PACT Records Matched for Purposes of Computing Improvement Rating

Percent of students tested in 2007-08 whose 2006-07 test scores were located

100%

ABOOLOTE TO THIT COURSE OF THE										
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk						
0	4	8	55	62						

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by September 30.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are Elementary schools with Poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the

Definition of 0	Critical Terms
Advanced	Exceeded expectations, Very high score, very well prepared to work at next grade level
Proficient	Met expectations, Well prepared to work at next grade level
Basic	Met standards, Minimally prepared, can go to next grade level
Below Basic	Did not meet standards, must have an academic assistance plan, the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

School Profile

CONCO, P TOMIC	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=239)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	75.0%	Down from 87.8%	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	5.0%	Down from 8.3%	3.1%	2.3%
Attendance rate	95.9%	Up from 95.8%	96.0%	96.3%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No Change	3.2%	10.4%
With disabilities other than speech	6.3%	Up from 3.7%	7.8%	7.5%
Older than usual for grade	5.5%	Up from 4.5%	1.2%	0.6%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	13.4%	Up from 0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=23)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	65.2%	Down from 65.4%	54.0%	56.7%
Continuing contract teachers	47.8%	Down from 69.2%	69.2%	77.3%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	21.1%	Up from 4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	84.1%	Down from 91.9%	82.9%	86.4%
Teacher attendance rate	93.5%	Down from 96.0%	95.0%	94.9%
Average teacher salary	\$42,159	Down 6.5%	\$43,737	\$45,345
Professional development days/teacher	5.9 days	Down from 12.2 days	13.5 days	12.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	10.9 to 1	Down from 14.6 to 1	16.6 to 1	18.5 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.1%	Down from 90.0%	89.3%	89.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Fair	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	91.4%	Down from 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Average	Down from Good	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$9,135	Up 0.4%	\$8,149	\$7,052
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	70.0%	Up from 60.1%	68.5%	69.1%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	67.3%	Up from 55.7%	61.2%	64.2%

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Clio Elementary/Middle School is a Title 1 school that serves 235 students in grades PK-8. Our biggest highlight this year was moving into the new wing. The old one burned four years ago. The new facility has significantly improved the learning environment for students.

Our teachers have implemented new initiatives in their classrooms this year. One of them is TAP (Teacher Advancement Program). Through TAP, teacher quality of instruction is impacted through weekly cluster meetings in which they learn researched-based strategies to help raise student achievement. Other new initiatives are Anderson 5 Curriculum, Corrective Reading, and Imagine It! Reading. Our school continues to utilize the Accelerated Reader Program. District initiatives planned for next school year include implementing Everyday Math and Connected Math.

Compass Learning Odyssey, a standards-based computerized curriculum, helps students develop a foundation for literacy, strengthens their reading, language arts, math, social studies, and science skills. Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) scores are analyzed to monitor student progress and to assign lessons in Compass for students to work on. MAP scores are also used to guide differentiated classroom instruction.

PACT and benchmark data are disaggregated to address our school's weaknesses and guide our improvement plan. We have identified and offered academic assistance to targeted students in an effort to move them to the next level.

The school is being served by the following business partners: Clio Police Department, Herald Office Systems, I Have a Dream Foundation, Pop's Diner, and Pepsi Cola. Area churches are involved in the Adopt-A-Class Program, and each grade level has been adopted by a church that lends support to grade-level students and teachers. These churches include St. Peters AME Zion, St. Matthews Missionary Baptist, New Zion AME, Ebenezer Missionary Baptist, Reedy Branch Missionary Baptist, St. Michaels Hope Ministries, Trinity United Methodist, Asbury United Methodist, Mt. Tabor Missionary Baptist, and Sandy Grove Missionary Baptist.

An ongoing goal is to increase our parental involvement. We continue to open our parent resource center to parents, hold Open House, Grandparents' Day Luncheon, Pastries for Parents, Subs for Moms, Progress Report Conference Nights, PACT Night, and PTA and SIC meetings. We added a new, eight-week program called "Strengthening Families." The program focuses on improving communications between home and school and other important topics of interest to parents and their families. We look forward to the future accomplishments and improvements that we will experience by working together with students, parents, business partners, and the community at large. Our goal is to make a positive impact in the education and lives of our students.

Diane Grant, Principal Latoya Thompson, SIC President

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	22	27	20					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	86.4%	96.3%	88.9%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	77.3%	88.5%	70.0%					
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	52.4%	88.9%	95.0%					

^{*} Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress

NO

This school met 7 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

Plan to Restructure

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanction: Implement the restructuring plan.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	1.8%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	24.6%	6.8%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	35.7%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	95.9%	94.0%	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

Clio Elementary/Midd	le								02/16	6/09-35	01023
PACT Performance By Group											
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient and Advanced*	District % Proficient and Advanced*	State % Proficient and Advanced*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Languag	ge Arts	State	Perforr	nance	Objectiv	/e = 58	.8% (Pi	roficien	t and A	dvance	ed)
All Students	139	100	41.5	45.2	13.3	0	21.5	30.4	48.2	No	Yes
Gender											
Male	79	100	47.4	42.1	10.5	0	14.5	24	41.7	N/A	N/A
Female	60	100	33.9	49.2	16.9	0	30.5	37.3	55	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	38.4	60	I/S	I/S
Africian American	120	100	42.7	43.6	13.7	0	21.4	25.2	31.7	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	70.4	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	38.4	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	35.7	47	I/S	I/S
Disability Status											
Disabled	21	100	45	55	0	0	0	9.1	16	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status											
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	38.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency											
Limited English Proficient	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	36.9	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status											
Subsized meals	127	100	43.1	44.7	12.2	0	20.3	26.6	34	No	Yes
Mathematic	s - Stat	e Perfo	rmanc	e Objed	ctive =	57.8% (Proficie	ent and	Advan	ced)	
All Students	139	100	51.9	40.7	5.9	1.5	13.3	25.1	45.8	No	Yes
Gender											
Male	79	100	52.6	42.1	5.3	0	11.8	24	45.6	N/A	N/A
Female	60	100	50.8	39	6.8	3.4	15.3	26.3	45.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	34	59	I/S	I/S
Africian American	120	100	50.4	43.6	4.3	1.7	12.8	19.9	26.9	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	71.3	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	38.1	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	29.3	46.2	I/S	I/S
Disability Status											
Disabled	21	100	60	40	0	0	0	9.7	17.1	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status											
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	32.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency											
Limited English Proficient	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	38.7	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status											
Subsized meals	127	100	52.8	39.8	6.5	0.8	12.2	22	31.4	No	Yes

^{*} Adj - Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Clio Elementary/Midd	lle								02/16	6/09-35	01023
PACT Performance By Group											
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient and Advanced*	District % Proficient and Advanced*	State % Proficient and Advanced*	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate
				Scie	ence						
All Students	93	100	69.2	26.4	4.4	0	4.4	13.9	35.7	95.9	95.3
Gender											
Male	52	100	70.6	25.5	3.9	0	3.9	13.9	37.4	95.4	95
Female	41	100	67.5	27.5	5	0	5	13.8	33.8	96.5	95.7
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	24.7	49.2	93.2	94.8
Africian American	80	100	72.2	25.3	2.5	0	2.5	7.6	17	96.3	95.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	58	99.4	97.1
Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan	N/A 5	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S 16.4	24.9 37.4	N/A 92.1	95.2 94
Disability Status	J	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	10.4	31.4	32.1	34
Disability Status Disabled	15	100	80	20	0	0	0	10.5	14	94.2	94.5
Migrant Status	10	100	00	20	0	U	U	10.0	17	J4.2	34.0
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	21.9	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	14/71	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	14/71	21.0	14/71	14/71
Limited English Proficient	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	24.4	N/A	97.6
Socio-Economic Status											
Subsized meals	84	100	70.7	25.6	3.7	0	3.7	10.5	21.1	95.6	95.1
	ı	ı	1		O1 1.	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	
All Or I de		1 400	_		Studies		4 =	1 40 0	0.4	05.0	05.0
All Students	90	100	61.6	33.7	4.7	0	4.7	13.8	34	95.9	95.3
Gender	40	400	CO 0	24.0	4.0	0	4.0	44.4	20.0	05.4	٥٢
Male Female	49	100	60.9	34.8 32.5	4.3 5	0	4.3 5	14.1	36.6 31.3	95.4 96.5	95 95.7
Racial/Ethnic Group	41	100	02.3	32.3	ິ່ງ	U	3	13.4	31.3	90.5	95.7
White	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	16.4	44.5	93.2	94.8
Africian American	77	100	60.8	35.1	4.1	0	4.1	11.8	19.1	96.3	95.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	58.9	99.4	97.1
Hispanic	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	27.5	N/A	95.2
American Indian/Alaskan	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	19	32.7	92.1	94
Disability Status											
Disabled	11	100	80	20	0	0	0	6.1	14.4	94.2	94.5
Migrant Status											
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	22.6	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency											
Limited English Proficient	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	27.3	N/A	97.6
Socio-Economic Status											
Subsized meals	82	100	62.8	32.1	5.1	0	5.1	11.4	21	95.6	95.1

^{*} Adj - Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

PACT	Γ Performan	ce By Grade	e Level					
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced*
			Er	nglish/Langu	lage Arts			
	3	28	100	14.8	51.9	22.2	11.1	33.3
7	4	25	100	36.4	54.5	9.1	0	9.1
2007	5	26	100	60	32	8	0	8
5 (6	28	100	46.2	46.2	7.7	0	7.7
	7	27	96.3	33.3	62.5	4.2	0	4.2
	8	20	100	27.8	55.6	16.7	0	16.7
_	3 4	26 20	100 100	15.4 26.3	57.7 42.1	26.9	0	26.9 31.6
2008	5	20	100	26.3 55	30	31.6 15	0	15
50	6	25	100	64	32	4	0	4
,	7	24	100	43.5	52.2	4.3	0	4.3
	8	24	100	45.5	54.5	0	0	0
				Mathema				
	3	28	100	44.4	48.1	7.4	0	7.4
	4	25	100	44.4	45.5	9.1	4.5	13.6
2007	5	26	100	48	48	0	4.5	4
70	6	28	100	38.5	46.2	11.5	3.8	15.4
	7	27	96.3	16.7	70.8	12.5	0	12.5
	8	20	100	16.7	77.8	5.6	0	5.6
	3	26	100	57.7	38.5	3.8	0	3.8
8	4	20	100	26.3	47.4	15.8	10.5	26.3
2008	5	20	100	45	45	10	0	10
2	6	25	100	80	16	4	0	4
	7 8	24 24	100 100	43.5 50	56.5 45.5	0 4.5	0	0 4.5
	0	Z4	100			4.0	U	4.0
		i	•	Scienc		1	•	1
	3	14	100	46.2	30.8	7.7	15.4	23.1
7	4	25	100	86.4	9.1	4.5	0	4.5
2007	5 6	12 13	100 100	75 72.7	25 18.2	0 9.1	0	0 9.1
2	7	27	96.3	66.7	25	4.2	4.2	8.3
	8	10	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	3	14	100	78.6	21.4	0	0	0
8	4	20	100	63.2	21.1	15.8	0	15.8
2008	5	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2(6	12	100	83.3	16.7	0	0	0
	7	24	100	60.9	39.1	0	0	0
	8	13	100	53.8	38.5	7.7	0	7.7
				Social Stu	udies			
	3	14	100	35.7	57.1	7.1	0	7.1
7	4	25	100	77.3	22.7	0	0	0
200	5	14	100	61.5	38.5	0	0	0
2	6	15	100	66.7	26.7	6.7	0	6.7
	7 8	27 10	96.3 N/AV	83.3 N/AV	16.7 N/AV	0 N/AV	0 N/AV	0 N/AV
	3	12	100	41.7	50	8.3	0	8.3
0	4	20	100	36.8	57.9	5.3	0	5.3
ĕ	5	10	I/S	I/S	1/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2008	6	13	100	69.2	23.1	7.7	0	7.7
	7	24	100	87	13	0	0	0
	8	11	100	77.8	22.2	0	0	0